PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY						
To: Autoliv Development AB 447 83 VÅRGÅRDA		PCT WRITTEN OPINION OF THE				
Sverige		INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY				
		(PCT Rule 43bis.1)				
		<u></u>				
		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	2 3 -05- 2005			
Applicant's or agent's file reference	***************************************	FOR FURTHER AC				
SP 40022 WO			See paragraph 2 below			
International application No. International filing data PCT/SE2005/000264 25.02.2005		(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 11.03.2004			
International Patent Classification (IPC) o	or both national classific	cation and IPC				
B62D1/10						
Applicant Autoliv Development A	B et al					
			14			
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority						
Box No. III Non-establishme	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention					
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
Box No. VI Certain documen						
Box No. VII Certain defects in	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application					
Box No. VIII Certain observati	ions on the internations	il application				
2. FURTHER ACTION			•			
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.						
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further opinions, see Form PCT/ISA/220.						
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.						
Name and mailing address of the ISA/SE		Authorized officer				
Patent- och registreringsverket Box 5055		Daniel Åber	σ / .TA A			
S-102 42 STOCKHOLM Facsimile No. +46, 8, 667, 72, 88		Telephone No. +46				

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/SE2005/000264

Bo	x No. I	Basis of this opinion
1.	which it w	d to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in as filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 123.1(b)).
2.		d to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the vention, this opinion has been established on the basis of: material a sequence listing table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. format c	of material in written format in computer readable form
	c. time of	filing/furnishing contained in the international application as filed. filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	f	n addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to hat in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Additional	comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/SE2005/000264

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-8	YES
	Claims		NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
	Claims	1-8	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-8	YES
	Claims		NO NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Cited documents

D1: EP 0414245 A1 D2: US 3127784 A

The invention concerns a gear arrangement for a steering wheel with a stationary housing. It solves the problem of backlash in a gear arrangement of the steering wheel, which gives the steering wheel an undesirable feel. Hence, the object of the invention is to eliminate said backlash.

Document D1 is considered to represent the closest prior art. D1 describes (see abstract and fig. 2) a steering wheel arrangement comprising a first and second gear element mounted between the steering wheel and a steering column. The steering wheel arrangement (see fig. 2-4) is provided with an adjust ring (39) for "adjusting" or "managing" a backlash between a pinion gear and a ring gear (see column 7, line 2-line 26 and column 9, line 14-line 26). D1 does not state explicitly that the aim is to "eliminate" the backlash; however it is considered implicit in D1, since a backlash would clearly not be desirable in a steering wheel arrangement.

The invention according to claim 1 differs from the steering wheel in D1 in that the arrangement comprises at least one anti-backlash gear mounted on one of said gear elements.

Due to these features, a backlash-free gear arrangement is achieved.

. . . / . . .

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/SE2005/000264

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of: Box V

Consequently, with the background of D1, the problem is to design an alternative arrangement which achieves a backlash-free gear arrangement.

A solution to this problem is known from document D2, which describes an arrangement for eliminating backlash in gear trains (see column 1, line 12-line 29, column 2, line 4-line 53 and fig. 1-4).

It is therefore considered to be obvious for a person skilled in the art to use the teachings of D2 together with prior-art as specified in D1 in order to achieve a steering wheel arrangement according to the claimed invention. Accordingly, the steering wheel arrangement as claimed in claim 1 lacks an inventive step.

The remaining claims 2-8 are considered to involve particular detail executions obvious to a person skilled in the art. Therefore, the invention according to these claims is not considered to involve an inventive step.